

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
09/368,076	JIN ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Zachariah Lucas	1648	

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Rejection(s) discussed:  
NA

**Claims discussed:**  
36-39, 48

Prior art documents discussed:  
NA

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims would be in condition to allowance with the following amendments:

Non-elected claims 36-39 should be cancelled from the application.

Claim 48 should be amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the phrase "said C-terminal truncation" by amending the claim to depend from claim 47.

These amendments would found acceptable by Applicant. It was agreed that they would be made by Examiner's Amendment..